

ACTION CALENDAR October 7, 2014

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Councilmembers Jesse Arreguín and Kriss Worthington

Subject: Vacancy Registration Fee

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Refer to the City Manager the establishment of a registration fee for vacant ground floor commercial spaces, as well as undeveloped vacant lots throughout the City of Berkeley.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**:

Unknown. Staff costs associated with the establishment of a fee program; however, some work has already been commenced by staff in response to the original referral. Potential cost recovery upon implementation.

### **BACKGROUND:**

Vacant commercial spaces and lots often create blight, attract undesirable behavior, and cause economic disruptions, whose externalized impacts are felt by surrounding neighbors, businesses, and ultimately the City who must expend considerable resources addressing such impacts.

On December 7, 2010, the Berkeley City Council adopted the "Encouraging Economic Development and Increasing City Revenue from Business Activity" proposal from Councilmembers Capitelli, Wengraf and Moore.

Part of the item referred to the Planning Commission the following issue: "Investigate providing incentives to property owners to encourage leasing and establish disincentives to motivate property owners not to leave their properties vacant."

On September 20, 2011, Council voted to refer to the City Manager the issue of vacant storefronts and the development of a fee. Subsequently, staff determined after further study that a legally permissible fee would not be sufficiently high enough to achieve the desired incentive and that, given the objective, a tax would be a more effective option; however, a tax must be voted on directly by the voters to go into effect.

In the latest round of considering the placement of ballot measures for the upcoming fall election, <u>a vacancy tax polled favorably at 67 percent approval</u>; however, due to the pressing need for other revenue measures, a vacancy was not pursued for this election

cycle in order to ensure the likelihood that much needed revenue for City infrastructure will be passed by the voters.

This referral only differs from the original referral in its inclusion of undeveloped vacant lots. Throughout Berkeley, especially in residential neighborhoods, undeveloped lots that are not properly maintained become overgrown with vegetation, often posing a fire hazard, attract graffiti and other illegal activities, and harbor pests. The resulting nuisances spur ongoing City intervention and abatement notices. A fee on undeveloped lots will help the City recover the costs associated with monitoring and responding to such lots.

The establishment of a vacancy registration fee in the interim before the next available general election will establish the following goals:

- Begin the recovery of costs incurred by the City responding to issues associated with vacancies
- Provide comprehensive data that does not currently exist on vacancies in order to formulate an effective vacancy tax
- Evaluate the implementation of such a program and any attendant issues in order to inform the creation of a vacancy tax
- Provide an initial incentive for property owners to ensure a positive and active use rather than a vacancy

In establishing a vacancy registration fee program, the City should consider minimum maintenance standards for when or how much of the fee would apply with the potential waiving of the fee if the owner agrees to allow a positive interim use, such as an art exhibit or workspace in the case of vacant commercial storefronts, or a community garden in the case of undeveloped lots. Consistent with the previous proposal, the City should also consider appropriate waivers in cases of hardship or extenuating circumstances.

## **CONTACT PERSON:**

Jesse Arreguin, Councilmember, District 4 981-7140

### ATTACHMENTS:

1. Council Item: Vacancy Registration Fee. Sept. 20, 2011



Jesse Arreguin Councilmember, District 4

ACTION CALENDAR September 20, 2011

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Councilmember Jesse Arreguin

Subject: Referral to City Manager: Vacancy Registration Fee

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Refer to the City Manager the attached proposal for a Vacancy Registration Fee for review and the development of a policy to address the issue of vacant ground floor commercial spaces throughout Berkeley.

### **BACKGROUND:**

On December 7, 2010, the Berkeley City Council adopted the "Encouraging Economic Development and Increasing City Revenue from Business Activity" proposal from Councilmembers Capitelli, Wengraf and Moore.

Part of the item referred to the Planning Commission the following issue: "Investigate providing incentives to property owners to encourage leasing and establish disincentives to motivate property owners not to leave their properties vacant."

Vacant storefronts can be found in almost every commercial district in Berkeley. While part of this problem is due to the current recession, it is also often due to the square foot rents that are charged for vacant commercial space, which can be financially prohibitive for some small businesses. As a result, commercial spaces remain vacant, creating a significant financial and economic impact on our community.

The social impact of vacant storefronts is significant. It not only degrades the character of our community, but creates visual blight and affects the desirability of new businesses locating in an area, which in turn affects city tax revenues.

The economic impact of vacant storefronts is also important and is unaddressed. While some property owners cannot find a tenant to fill a vacant space, and should not be unfairly penalized, there are property owners who have charged higher rents for vacant commercial space and have not lowered rents as a way to find suitable tenants. As a result the property remains vacant. Additionally, there have been issues with the maintenance of some vacant storefronts and vacant storefronts sometimes attract undesirable activity. This has resulted in the investment of city resources (Police, Public Works, Neighborhood Services, Health) to address such problems. The city is not

compensated for the cost of providing such services which impacts our city budget and our ability to response to other problems in our community.

There clearly is a social and financial impact from vacant storefronts, which must be addressed. The attached proposal for a Vacancy Registration Fee was developed after reviewing a number of policies adopted by other cities to address vacant property, including vacant ground floor commercial space.

The proposal recommends a vacancy registration fee for commercial properties which—is would go into effect within 6 months after a vacancy occurs and would implement an escalating fee schedule: a \$180 registration fee and an escalating fee of \$300 for every six months the property remains vacant. The proposal also suggests establishing the requirement that the space meets minimum maintenance/street presence standards, which if not meet the owner would be subject to additional fees.

Many other cities, including cities in California, have adopted vacancy registration fees to deal with the issue of vacant commercial and residential property. See attached chart describing vacancy registration fee policies in other cities. The District of Columbia vacancy registration tax and fee schedule (based on Wilmington, Delaware's) seems most relevant to Berkeley. Current Washington DC has a registration tax of \$250.00 annually, and for Class 2 properties (which are mainly comprised of vacant storefronts), they have a tax of \$1.65 per 100 dollars of assessed value.

In addition, many cities in California charge a vacancy registration fee ranging from \$75 to \$603 (though most seem to be in the range of \$150). Many however, seem geared to addressing the problem of vacant residential properties in foreclosure and the fee is meant to raise money for maintenance in order to ensure that the properties do not become blighted.

The policy in San Jose is geared towards all vacancy buildings in general, and involved an escalating fee schedule.

This item does not recommend that the City Council adopt the proposal or that the City Manager specifically recommend this proposal, it is simply referring a suggested framework to the City Manager for consideration in responding to the December 7, 2010 City Council referral.

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:**

Unknown. Some staff time will be involved in reviewing, researching and developing a proposal for City Council consideration.

### **CONTACT PERSONS:**

Jesse Arreguin, Councilmember, District 4 981-7140

### Attachments:

- 1. Proposal for Vacancy Registration Fee
- 2. Chart summarizing vacancy registration fee policies in other cities

## **Proposal for Vacancy Registration Fee for Berkeley**

## Background:

Based on research of policies adopted in other cities and square foot rents and economic conditions in Berkeley that have resulted in vacancies, an ideal policy would involve a progressive registration fee schedule with a grace period to allow sufficient time for a property owner to seek a renter/buyer, with a fee high enough to incentivize landlords to lower rents or actively find a renter/buyer, to create an disincentive for leaving commercial space vacant for long periods of time and minimize the impacts on the community. The fee policy should include clear criteria for the maintenance of vacant storefronts with financial disincentives to discourage blight. There should be limited deferrals/exemptions to address situations where a building is being renovated or to address economic hardship. The fee should not only be a disincentive to holding ground floor commercial space vacant but also to help recover some of the costs associated with city response to problems with vacant properties.

### Goals:

- <u>To discourage vacancies of ground floor commercial space and to encourage property owners to maintain vacant property to prevent blight.</u>
- When would the fee go into effect? One option includes requiring the property to be registered and if there is active pursuit of a tenant/buyer, the fee would not go into effect, however if after 6 months the property is not occupied, the fee would go into effect. Alternatively, the fee could go into effect within 6 months (or another threshold) after a vacancy occurs.
- Introduce progressive fee schedule. Longer the duration of vacancy the higher the fee.
- Set fee at a rate high enough, to incentivize landlords to lower their rents but not too high so as to discourage prospective landlords to buy property in Berkeley, or to create a reputation of Berkeley being "unfair to businesses".
- Determine exceptions and design a clear policy that would ensure that
  exemptions are being granted to circumstances that legitimately meet the criteria
  and are not a loophole around the policy.
- Include a minimum set of standards for maintenance for vacant space, so as to minimize blight. If standards are not met, additional fees would be imposed.
- Determine the consequences of failure to comply with the registration fee requirements. One option could include placing a lien on the property.
- Create a system that would be clear and as efficient as possible. Minimize staff time and costs associated with implementing system.

## Legal Issues:

 Legally it is difficult to adopt a "registration tax" without going through a Proposition 218 process or going to the voters.

- A fee is most easily justified and able to be adopted if it is an impact fee, used to cover costs of maintenance and security around vacant store fronts.
- It is also more easily justified if it is a lump sum that escalates depending on the
  amount of time the space is vacant, rather than varying depending on square
  footage. It would provide more clarity and predictability for the owner and would
  make the policy easier to implement. Additionally, the impacts are similar
  regardless of the size of the property.

## Brief discussion of past relevant policies that motivate current proposal:

The District of Columbia vacancy registration tax and fee schedule (based on Wilmington, Delaware's) seems most relevant to Berkeley. Current Washington DC has a registration tax of \$250.00 annually, and for Class 2 properties (which are mainly comprised of vacant storefronts), they have a tax of \$1.65 per 100 dollars of assessed value.

In addition, many cities in California charge a vacancy registration fee ranging from \$75 to \$603 (though most seem to be in the range of \$150). Many however, seem geared to addressing the problem of vacant residential properties in foreclosure and the fee is meant to raise money for maintenance in order to ensure that the properties do not become blighted.

The policy in San Jose is geared towards all vacancy buildings in general, and involved an escalating fee schedule.

### **Suggested Proposal:**

Establish a vacancy registration fee for commercial properties, which would apply to vacant ground floor commercial space. Adopt an escalating fee schedule: \$ 180 registration fee and an escalating fee of \$300 for every six months the property remains vacant.

### When would the fee go into effect?

One option would require the property owner to register with the city and pay a fee as soon as the commercial space becomes vacant. The fee could be refunded if it is rented within 6 months of vacancy. If the space if vacant for 6 months or more, the fee would go into effect at the beginning of the 6<sup>th</sup> month of vacancy and would escalate depending on how long the property remains vacant.

Another option is that the fee would go into effect 6 months after a vacancy occurs.

Additionally, during the time the property remains vacant, the property owner is responsible for meeting the minimum standards for maintenance/street presence for the vacant space:

## Suggested standards include:

- 1. free of graffiti, clean windows, doors and storefront perhaps with "post no bills" standards with the exception of rental information,
- 2. clean interior space no "junk" or fixtures visible from the sidewalk/street,
- 3. clean and serviceable awnings,
- 4. signage in good condition,
- 5. "no boarding up" of windows or doors unless legally required,
- 6. landscaping up kept and in good condition,
- 7. parking lots clean of debris, weeds and in good condition and, if exclusive to the storefront, chained to prevent auto access
- 8. 24/7 lighting at street and motion lighting as necessary at auxiliary entrance/exits.

The City could adopt accelerating fines for non-compliance with maintenance/street presence standards, which would increase over time if the property is unmaintained.

### Incentives:

As explained above, if an owner rents a space within 6 months of vacancy, then the fee would be refunded.

Additionally, the escalating fee schedule and fees for lack of maintenance of property create incentives for owners to rent and maintain spaces.

Also, the fee could be lowered, if the owner agrees to rent the space temporarily to a positive public use such as arts performance or gallery space.

### Reasoning behind this proposal:

Since the Washington DC fee seems very effective, we propose an analogous fee that would be a lump sum (rather than per \$100 of assessed value as is the case in DC).

### A Better Assessment?

Given legal constraints in adopting taxes, it would be better if the fee were legally
an impact fee that was based on the costs incurred to the City by these vacant
storefronts (and according to the City Manager's office, vacant lots/storefronts
have remained vacant for long periods and can significantly drain city resources.)
This fee could be also used as a model to address the issue of vacant
commercial and residential lots.

- The City does not currently have this kind of information readily available but can gather it and attempt to quantify the costs. Some of the parameters suggested are: the cost of increased security, Police response, Public Works response and Mental Health services; the amount of time spent in addressing these vacant properties by Neighborhood Services; the opportunity costs of lost revenue in the form of building and business permits, in property taxes and in business license fees.
- If the costs could be properly quantified, it would be easier to determine a
  progressive fee schedule that ultimately would help recoup some of the costs to
  the city.

## Suggested exemptions:

The property would be exempt from the registration fee requirements entirely or temporarily if they met the following criteria:

- 1. The property is under active construction, rehabilitation, renovation or repair and has valid building permit(s) to make it fit for occupancy.
- 2. The owner is actively seeking to sell or rent the property and has not found a tenant/buyer and payment of the fees would be an economic hardship.

The City would need to establish criteria to determine if property meets exemptions. How do you determine if someone is actively seeking to sell or rent the property? Ads for vacant space? Number of open houses? Number of prospective tenants who have expressed interest in property? Also how is economic hardship defined?

City/County	Fees	Status	EnactedDate	EnactedDateReg. Timeframe
Alameda	\$603.00 annually	Enacted		90 days
Apple Valley	TBD	Proposed		
Baldwin Park	\$90/year	Enacted	4/15/2009	10 days
Banning		75 Enacted	9/9/2008 10 days	10 days
Barstow	TBD	Enacted	2/16/2010	2/16/2010 10 days following vacancy
Beaumont	\$150 annually	Enacted	8/7/2008 10 days	10 days
Bellflower	TBD	Enacted	5/26/2009 10 days	10 days
Benicia	157.11	11 Enacted		10 days
Blythe	1	100 Enacted	10/28/2008	10 days
California City		40 Enacted	8/4/2009 10 days	10 days
Calimesa	1	150 Enacted	6/16/2008 30 days	30 days
Canyon Lake	1	130 Enacted	4/9/2009 15 days	15 days
Cathedral City	\$70.00 annually	Enacted	5/28/2008 10 days	10 days
Chowchilla	\$150 first time; \$100 renewal	Enacted	8/7/2007 10 days	10 days
Chula Vista	\$70.00 *see key points of interest*	Enacted		10 days
Claremont	\$70.00 annually	Enacted	1/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Cloverdale	1	120 Enacted		10 days
Coachella		65 Enacted	6/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Coalinga	\$150 per calendar year	Enacted		10 days following vacancy
Colton	\$150; \$75 for renewal	Enacted	5/19/2009   10 days	10 days
Commerce	TBD by Council resolution	Enacted	9/18/2009	10 days following vacancy
Compton		35 Enacted	7/22/2010 10 days	10 days
Covina	\$100.00 annually	Enacted	9/2/2008 10 days	10 days
Desert Hot Springs	\$60.00 annually	Enacted	12/16/2008   10 days	10 days
				10 days from filing of NOD or property
Dixon	n/a	Dead		transfer
Downey	No Fee	Enacted	1/13/2009	10 days
East Palo Alto	3	300 Enacted	5/4/2010 10 days	10 days
El Monte	\$198(Reg) \$200 (Deposit)	Enacted	7/20/2010	7/20/2010 10 days following the filing of N.O.D.
Elk Grove		10 Enacted		10 days
Fairfield	\$171.00 annually	Enacted	7/15/2008 10 days	10 days
Fresno	None; TBD	Enacted	12/15/2008 10 days	10 days
Garden Grove		150 Enacted	12/25/2008 10 days	10 days

	207	7		0.00
Glendora	191	Too Ellacted		LU Udys
Gonzales	107	107 Enacted		10 days
Greenfield	\$50.00 annually	Enacted	9/23/2008 10 days	10 days
Hanford	\$200; pro-rated after July 1st each year	Enacted	12/1/2008   10 days	10 days
	\$205 Annual Fee for failing to Register \$437			
Hayward	Quarterly Monitoring Fee	Proposed		
Hesperia	TBD	Proposed		TDB
Highland	19\$	\$65 Enacted	10/13/2009 10 days	10 days
Hollister	\$265	\$265 Enacted		Upon Notice
Imperial	0\$\$	D Enacted	9/3/2008	10 days
Indio	Initial \$150/ \$100 annually	Enacted	4/4/2008 10 days	10 days
Inglewood	150	150 Enacted	1/1/2011 10 days	10 days
lone	195\$	\$50 Enacted	6/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Kerman	35\$	\$50 Enacted	9/3/2008 10 days	10 days
Kingsburg	\$50 annually	Enacted	12/3/2008   10 days	10 days
La Mirada	\$100 annually	Enacted	2/24/2009 30 days	30 days
La Puente	\$100	\$100 Enacted	11/17/2009   10 days	10 days
Lake Elsinore	\$71 annually	Enacted	4/25/2008 10 days	10 days
Lemoore	None	Enacted		10 days
Lompoc	)	0 Proposed		TBD
Long Beach	\$155 annually	Enacted	1/4/2011	1/4/2011 30 days following vacancy
Los Angeles	\$155 annually**see key points of interest**	Enacted	7/8/2010 10 days	10 days
Lynwood	\$250	\$250 Enacted	4/21/2009 10 days	10 days
Madera	35	55 Enacted	10/15/2008 10 days	10 days
Menifee	\$125	\$125 Enacted		
Modesto	)	0 Enacted	5/5/2009 10 days	10 days
Montclair	TBD	Enacted	1/5/2009	10 days
Montebello	120	120 Enacted	5/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Murrieta	\$70.00 annually	Enacted	3/4/2008 10 days	10 days
Oakland	\$568.00 annually	Enacted		30 days
Oakley	65	5 Enacted	6/24/2010 10 days	10 days
Ojai	)6	90 Enacted	9/22/2009 10 days	10 days

Ontario	\$110/annually; \$55 for change of information	Enacted	5/5/2009 10 days	10 days
Pacific Grove	\$116	\$116 Enacted	4/16/2011	4/16/2011 10 days following vacancy
Palm Springs	\$18.00 annually	Enacted	7/30/2008 10 days	10 days
Palmdale	One time fee of \$110.00	Enacted	2/6/2008 10 days	10 days
Pasadena	\$356.00 annually	Enacted		30 days
Patterson	\$90; \$80 for renewal	Enacted	12/1/2008	10 days
Perris	\$130 annually	Enacted	9/14/2008 10 days	10 days
Placentia	First time \$50 Renewal \$20	Enacted	10/7/2008 10 days	10 days
Pomona	TBD	Proposed		
Rancho Cucamonga	No Fee	Enacted	3/18/2009 30 days	30 days
Redlands	\$82.42	Enacted		10 days
Rialto	\$106.00 annually	Enacted	6/17/2008	10 days
Sacramento	N/A	Enacted		N/A
San Bruno	\$150	\$150 Enacted	1/10/2009 30 days	30 days
San Diego	None-registration is voluntary	Enacted		
San Diego County	0\$	\$0 Enacted	6/16/2009 None	None
San Francisco	\$765	\$765 Enacted	8/18/2009 30 days	30 days
San Jacinto	ТВD	Enacted		10 days
San Jose	Escalating fee schedule	Enacted	12/18/2007	Upon notice from city.
Santa Clarita	\$0; Registration is voluntary	Enacted		0
Santee	\$190.00 annually	Enacted	11/1/2002	10 day
Seaside	95\$	\$50 Enacted	3/17/2011 10 days	10 days
Selma	\$200.00 annually	Enacted	8/4/2008 10 days	10 days
Soledad	\$150	\$150 Enacted		10 days
South San Francisco	\$125	\$125 Enacted	3/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Stockton	N/A	Dead		
Suisun City	05\$	\$50 Enacted	3/17/2009	10 days
Temecula	None	Enacted	5/7/2008 30 days	30 days
Tulare		\$70 Enacted	6/16/2008 10 days	10 days
	\$550 monthly when code violations are			
Vacaville	outstanding	Enacted	7/22/2008 30 days	30 days
Vallejo	TBD	Proposed		TBD
Victorville	0\$	) Enacted		0

Waterford \$50.00 annua	LIOJE		
\$50.00 ann	Ioujenacted	ted	60 days trom vacancy/ boarding
	ually Enac	Enacted 10/10/2008 10 days	10 days
Wildomar	\$100 Enacted		10 days
Winters none	Enacted	ted   11/17/2009   10 days	10 days

Passed fee 26, 2008 Everpritors for *Perporary en engenory Studistions.* Enforced by code enforcement inspectors doing block-by-block surveys of targeted areas.	Ordinance is under the untrelle of the Vesant Proparties Program, which began in 2006 to expand on the Troutled Buildings Initiative.	Enected in January 2007. Based on Wilmington's code.
No program website	Ба эвеецајача жеве	No program website
Ordinance 05:164. One-pter 16.  Avacant structure is: a building unoccupied for 90 days or more.  Penalties:  - Owness must within 10 days of notice from Code Enforcement, register their structures with the city's Planning and Development Services.  - Registration must be renewed every six month the building is vacant.  - Submit a plan including the length of this the owner expects the vacancy to continue, proposed rehabilitation to be made and description or measures isken to secure structure.  - submit a form that grent's permission for inspection and a description of action that will be taken to secure the structure.  - 'Assant structure must be up to maintenance code.  - 'If the structure is to be broarded up, owner must apply for a board-up permit and abide by that code.  Fee schedule:  - Unknown procedul maintenant Development services.	Vacant Property is: a property that has been vacant for 30 or more days.  Penatives:  - Owner must register building with the City of Chicago within 30 days after it becomes vacant.  - Owner must sear source the building value of the common search of the common must post a notice of vacancy on the building.  - Owner must maintain the building to the building.  - Owner must maintain the building to the building.  - Owner must show exidence that they have liability insurance no less than \$300X for residential properties  \$\$M\$ for commercial or industrial properties in 30 days to defray potential demolition costs.	Vascart property is: a building in which no one is residing or no business is being conducted, or buildings in which more than half of the excertor windows and doors are broken, boarded up, or without a functioning bods.  •Within 45 days of a building becoming vacant, the owner is required to register it with the city's Department of Municipal inspecions.  •Within 45 days of a building becoming vacant, the owner is required to register it with the city's Department of Municipal inspecions.  •Within 45 of each year, the owner must pay a registration fee to cover the administrative cost of "monitoring and neuraling the property maintenance" of the building.  • Building owners have the right to appeal the feas to the Board of Health, but only based on the issue of whether the building is vecant and for how long. Those who don't register or pay their fees are subject to \$300- maintenance of the building is wearn and for how long. Those who don't register or pay their fees are subject to \$300- fees escalable.  • Res escalable based on the amount of time the structure has been vecant, from \$500 for properties that have been vecant for less than a year to \$3,000 for those vacant for three years or more.
	Title 19-41 & 13-12	Chapter 8.05
Vecan: Buildings Ordinance	The Vacant Building Building Registration and Insurance Certification Ordinance	Viscent Property Registration Ordinance
Planning and Development Services	Deparment of Buildings	Department of Municipal inspections
Planning and Development Services P.O. Box 47 PLO Pine Avenue/Suite 300 Albary, Georgia 31702 Phone: (229) 438-3965 Fax: (229) 438-3965	Dennis Callinan Vacarti Mutiple Dveiling Agranistrator (312) 744.3456	Nicholas R. Catinazzo Director of Municipal Inspections Inspections (781) 286-8197
QA	⊒	WA
Albany	Chicago	Revere

St. Paul	W	Steve Magner Vacant Buildings Supervisor	Planning and Development Services	ding	Chapter 45	A Avasant building its a building that is unocoupled for 30 or more days and its: <a a="" also="" blook"="" buildings="" by="" court="" for="" give="" href="https://www.stytesli.gov.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Code specifies exemption for houses that have recent fire damage.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;(651) 286-2542&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Ordinance&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;-Secured by other than normal means, or is -Adapted accuss structure, or is -Condemned, or illegally coape, or or - Itse multiple housing or Building Code widelions, or - Is condemned and illegally coapeled, or - is condemned and illegally coapeled, or - is uncoapeled for a pendo of time forger than one year during which time the Enforcement Officer has issued an order to correct nuisance conditions.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Penalties:  - Owners trust submit a Vacant Building Registration Form within 30 days, describing plans for rehabilitating and encoupying or denotelshing the building Registration Form within 30 days, describing plans for rehabilitating and encoupying or denotelshing the building and recompanies of the state of the state discloses all pertinent less holding the information.  - Owners must disclose any current furth-has de the described because Reports  - Owners must provide unactural ledes accorded special personal profices of the premises of the buildings to permit the Enforcement Officer for make a complete bracedor.  - Owners must browle unactural beat accorded special personal persona&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Albany&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;ž&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Richert, Crosszi, St.&lt;br&gt;Deputy Chief, Many&lt;br&gt;Department of Fire and&lt;br&gt;Emergency Services&lt;br&gt;rictreszi@allebry-ty.org&lt;br&gt;(518) 434.8045&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Fire Department's Division of Buildings and Codes&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Vécarie Building Ordinance No&lt;br&gt;Registry (passed in XIA § 133-78&lt;br&gt;2000) 8 Vacant&lt;br&gt;Building&lt;br&gt;Committee&lt;br&gt;(created in 2005)&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Ordinance No. 51.82.88, Article XIA § 133-78&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;A variant building it: a building or portion thereof that is unoccupied or illegally occupied for more than 30 days. No program website and areas:  - unsecured, or  - secured by Order than normal means, or  - secured than normal means and than than the enforcement office thas issued an order to correct code  - secured to ore 365 days during which time the enforcement office thas issued an order to correct code  - Secure must such a secure the building plan for approval - must include either plans and nimetrame for  - Secured must submit a exact building plan for approval - must include either plans and nimetrame for  - secured plans, such a secure the building plan for approval - must include either plans and nimetrame for  - secured plans, such a property &amp; pay fee.  - secured plans and nimetrame for  - secured plan&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;First entacted in 2000, In Jun 2008 fees intraessed from \$200 to \$250, the first year. Legislation is currently enforced by the " inflaints,="" inflatione="" largets="" most="" plack="" properties="" properties.<="" streets="" summonses="" surveying="" td="" the="" them="" to="" tooking="" unregistered="" uses="" vacant="" vecent="" viciations.="" which="" with=""></a>	
Binghamton	È	Keith Heron Vazant Properties Officer 38 Hawkey Smeet, 4th floor Binghamton, NY 13901 (607) 772-7102 (ax	Building and Cxde Deparment	Vacan: Building Registration Ordinance	8285-6	- 5 or more years: \$2,000  - A wazant building is a building, a portion of a building, or a structure which is any one or more of the below:    WASANT building is a building, a portion of a building, or a structure which is any one or more of the below:    WASANT building is a building, a portion of a building, or a structure which is any one or more of the below:    WASANT building is a building, a portion of a building,	Enacted 5/31/07. Legislation part of 'Blight Prevention Initiathe" developed by atask from made up. Code, Julifing and Community Development. Housing and Community Development. Parks and Rec. and Finance orificials. Law mindeled after legislation in Albany and Rochester NY: Willmington, DE; and Evanston, IL.

	Exemptions made for buildings under permitted construction.	Enected in 1893 as par of the Property charding Program. Is a designed to deal with vacant properties although only records occupied property.
AGE TO	550 1898 300 1898 300 308	iod despesation for many
Ordinance 59-2009 (Amendment) A vacant property is: a property ordered vacated due to non-compliance of a 13 point code by Buildings & Sections 1101-77, 79, 129  Respections Dept.  - Applicant must power by the ordered building Maintenance License win 30 days of order to vacate.  - Applicant must pow lea or request walver.  - Applicant must power leaves walver.  - Applicant must power and evidence of general liability insurance for property (min of \$3.00k for residential \$1M for commercial or indepting must be brought into VBML compliance (dennicitized, rehabilitated, or up to code) within 60 days of application.  - Building must be brought into VBML compliance (dennicitized, rehabilitated, or up to code) within 60 days of application.  - Building must be brought into VBML compliance (dennicitized, rehabilitated, or up to code) within 60 days of application.  - Se00/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for a least 1 but less than 2 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered to kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 3.500 was the properties and the properties of the p	A vacant building its a building that has been uncoupled for 30 days or more and is:  - unsecured, or  - a dangerous structure, or  - a dangerous structure, or  - a dangerous structure, or  - condemmed, or	A vecent building its: a non-owner occupied residential or commercial building or part of such a building without 19000000000000000000000000000000000000
Ordinance 55-2006 (Amendine) Sections 1101-77, 78, 128	Article IX §11	Property Recording Chepter 200, subchepter 8 & Ordinance Chepter 275 § 32-7
Vecant Building Malmanace License	City of Stour Falls Ordinance Article IX Vacant Buildings	Property Recording Ordinance
Department of Buiklings and Inspections	Planning and Building Services	Department of Municipal Inspections
Edward Curningham Supervisor of Inspections edward curningham@circinnasi oh.gov (E13) 352-1909	Buiking Services 605-367-8254	Marin Collins MCOLL (@milwaukes gov (414) 286-2542
등	OS .	WI
Cincimati	Sioux Falls	Мімэцкее



ACTION CALENDAR
October 7, 2014

(Continued from September 9, 2014)

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Councilmember Jesse Arreguín

Subject: Vacancy Registration Fee

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Refer to the City Manager the establishment of a registration fee for vacant ground floor commercial spaces as well as undeveloped vacant lots throughout the City of Berkeley.

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:**

Unknown staff costs associated with the establishment of a fee program; however, some work has already been commenced by staff in response to the original referral. Potential cost recovery upon implementation.

### **BACKGROUND:**

Vacant commercial spaces and lots often create blight, attract undesirable behavior, and cause economic disruptions, whose externalized impacts are felt by surrounding neighbors, businesses, and ultimately the City who must expend considerable resources addressing such impacts.

On December 7, 2010, the Berkeley City Council adopted the "Encouraging Economic Development and Increasing City Revenue from Business Activity" proposal from Councilmembers Capitelli, Wengraf and Moore.

Part of the item referred to the Planning Commission the following issue: "Investigate providing incentives to property owners to encourage leasing and establish disincentives to motivate property owners not to leave their properties vacant."

On September 20, 2011, Council voted to refer to the City Manager the issue of vacant storefronts and the development of a fee. Subsequently, staff determined after further study that a legally permissible fee would not be sufficiently high enough to achieve the desired incentive and that, given the objective, a tax would be a more effective option; however, a tax must be voted on directly by the voters to go into effect.

In the latest round of considering the placement of ballot measures for the upcoming fall election, a vacancy tax polled favorably at 67 percent approval; however, due to the pressing need for other revenue measures, a vacancy was not pursued for this election

cycle in order to ensure the likelihood that much needed revenue for City infrastructure will be passed by the voters.

This referral only differs from the original referral in its inclusion of undeveloped vacant lots. Throughout Berkeley, especially in residential neighborhoods, undeveloped lots that are not properly maintained become overgrown with vegetation, often posing a fire hazard, attract graffiti and other illegal activities, and harbor pests. The resulting nuisances spur ongoing City intervention and abatement notices. A fee on undeveloped lots will help the City recover the costs associated with monitoring and responding to such lots.

The establishment of a vacancy registration fee in the interim before the next available general election will establish the following goals:

- Begin the recovery of costs incurred by the City responding to issues associated with vacancies
- Provide comprehensive data that does not currently exist on vacancies in order to formulate an effective vacancy tax
- Evaluate the implementation of such a program and any attendant issues in order to inform the creation of a vacancy tax
- Provide an initial incentive for property owners to ensure a positive and active use rather than a vacancy

In establishing a vacancy registration fee program, the City should consider minimum maintenance standards for when or how much of the fee would apply, with the potential waiving of the fee if the owner agrees to allow a positive interim use, such as an art exhibit or workspace in the case of vacant commercial storefronts, or a community garden in the case of undeveloped lots. Consistent with the previous proposal, the City should also consider appropriate waivers in cases of hardship or extenuating circumstances.

## **CONTACT PERSON:**

Jesse Arrequin, Councilmember, District 4 981-7140

### ATTACHMENTS:

1. Council Item: Vacancy Registration Fee. Sept. 20, 2011.



Jesse Arreguin
Councilmember, District 4

ACTION CALENDAR September 20, 2011

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Councilmember Jesse Arreguin

Subject: Referral to City Manager: Vacancy Registration Fee

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Refer to the City Manager the attached proposal for a Vacancy Registration Fee for review and the development of a policy to address the issue of vacant ground floor commercial spaces throughout Berkeley.

### **BACKGROUND:**

On December 7, 2010, the Berkeley City Council adopted the "Encouraging Economic Development and Increasing City Revenue from Business Activity" proposal from Councilmembers Capitelli, Wengraf and Moore.

Part of the item referred to the Planning Commission the following issue: "Investigate providing incentives to property owners to encourage leasing and establish disincentives to motivate property owners not to leave their properties vacant."

Vacant storefronts can be found in almost every commercial district in Berkeley. While part of this problem is due to the current recession, it is also often due to the square foot rents that are charged for vacant commercial space, which can be financially prohibitive for some small businesses. As a result, commercial spaces remain vacant, creating a significant financial and economic impact on our community.

The social impact of vacant storefronts is significant. It not only degrades the character of our community, but creates visual blight and affects the desirability of new businesses locating in an area, which in turn affects city tax revenues.

The economic impact of vacant storefronts is also important and is unaddressed. While some property owners cannot find a tenant to fill a vacant space, and should not be unfairly penalized, there are property owners who have charged higher rents for vacant commercial space and have not lowered rents as a way to find suitable tenants. As a result the property remains vacant. Additionally, there have been issues with the maintenance of some vacant storefronts and vacant storefronts sometimes attract undesirable activity. This has resulted in the investment of city resources (Police, Public Works, Neighborhood Services, Health) to address such problems. The city is not

compensated for the cost of providing such services which impacts our city budget and our ability to response to other problems in our community.

There clearly is a social and financial impact from vacant storefronts, which must be addressed. The attached proposal for a Vacancy Registration Fee was developed after reviewing a number of policies adopted by other cities to address vacant property, including vacant ground floor commercial space.

The proposal recommends a vacancy registration fee for commercial properties which—is would go into effect within 6 months after a vacancy occurs and would implement an escalating fee schedule: a \$180 registration fee and an escalating fee of \$300 for every six months the property remains vacant. The proposal also suggests establishing the requirement that the space meets minimum maintenance/street presence standards, which if not meet the owner would be subject to additional fees.

Many other cities, including cities in California, have adopted vacancy registration fees to deal with the issue of vacant commercial and residential property. See attached chart describing vacancy registration fee policies in other cities. The District of Columbia vacancy registration tax and fee schedule (based on Wilmington, Delaware's) seems most relevant to Berkeley. Current Washington DC has a registration tax of \$250.00 annually, and for Class 2 properties (which are mainly comprised of vacant storefronts), they have a tax of \$1.65 per 100 dollars of assessed value.

In addition, many cities in California charge a vacancy registration fee ranging from \$75 to \$603 (though most seem to be in the range of \$150). Many however, seem geared to addressing the problem of vacant residential properties in foreclosure and the fee is meant to raise money for maintenance in order to ensure that the properties do not become blighted.

The policy in San Jose is geared towards all vacancy buildings in general, and involved an escalating fee schedule.

This item does not recommend that the City Council adopt the proposal or that the City Manager specifically recommend this proposal, it is simply referring a suggested framework to the City Manager for consideration in responding to the December 7, 2010 City Council referral.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Unknown. Some staff time will be involved in reviewing, researching and developing a proposal for City Council consideration.

### **CONTACT PERSONS:**

Jesse Arreguin, Councilmember, District 4 981-7140

### Attachments:

- 1. Proposal for Vacancy Registration Fee
- 2. Chart summarizing vacancy registration fee policies in other cities

## **Proposal for Vacancy Registration Fee for Berkeley**

## Background:

Based on research of policies adopted in other cities and square foot rents and economic conditions in Berkeley that have resulted in vacancies, an ideal policy would involve a progressive registration fee schedule with a grace period to allow sufficient time for a property owner to seek a renter/buyer, with a fee high enough to incentivize landlords to lower rents or actively find a renter/buyer, to create an disincentive for leaving commercial space vacant for long periods of time and minimize the impacts on the community. The fee policy should include clear criteria for the maintenance of vacant storefronts with financial disincentives to discourage blight. There should be limited deferrals/exemptions to address situations where a building is being renovated or to address economic hardship. The fee should not only be a disincentive to holding ground floor commercial space vacant but also to help recover some of the costs associated with city response to problems with vacant properties.

### Goals:

- <u>To discourage vacancies of ground floor commercial space and to encourage property owners to maintain vacant property to prevent blight.</u>
- When would the fee go into effect? One option includes requiring the property to be registered and if there is active pursuit of a tenant/buyer, the fee would not go into effect, however if after 6 months the property is not occupied, the fee would go into effect. Alternatively, the fee could go into effect within 6 months (or another threshold) after a vacancy occurs.
- Introduce progressive fee schedule. Longer the duration of vacancy the higher the fee.
- Set fee at a rate high enough, to incentivize landlords to lower their rents but not too high so as to discourage prospective landlords to buy property in Berkeley, or to create a reputation of Berkeley being "unfair to businesses".
- Determine exceptions and design a clear policy that would ensure that
  exemptions are being granted to circumstances that legitimately meet the criteria
  and are not a loophole around the policy.
- Include a minimum set of standards for maintenance for vacant space, so as to minimize blight. If standards are not met, additional fees would be imposed.
- Determine the consequences of failure to comply with the registration fee requirements. One option could include placing a lien on the property.
- Create a system that would be clear and as efficient as possible. Minimize staff time and costs associated with implementing system.

## Legal Issues:

 Legally it is difficult to adopt a "registration tax" without going through a Proposition 218 process or going to the voters.

- A fee is most easily justified and able to be adopted if it is an impact fee, used to cover costs of maintenance and security around vacant store fronts.
- It is also more easily justified if it is a lump sum that escalates depending on the
  amount of time the space is vacant, rather than varying depending on square
  footage. It would provide more clarity and predictability for the owner and would
  make the policy easier to implement. Additionally, the impacts are similar
  regardless of the size of the property.

## Brief discussion of past relevant policies that motivate current proposal:

The District of Columbia vacancy registration tax and fee schedule (based on Wilmington, Delaware's) seems most relevant to Berkeley. Current Washington DC has a registration tax of \$250.00 annually, and for Class 2 properties (which are mainly comprised of vacant storefronts), they have a tax of \$1.65 per 100 dollars of assessed value.

In addition, many cities in California charge a vacancy registration fee ranging from \$75 to \$603 (though most seem to be in the range of \$150). Many however, seem geared to addressing the problem of vacant residential properties in foreclosure and the fee is meant to raise money for maintenance in order to ensure that the properties do not become blighted.

The policy in San Jose is geared towards all vacancy buildings in general, and involved an escalating fee schedule.

### **Suggested Proposal:**

Establish a vacancy registration fee for commercial properties, which would apply to vacant ground floor commercial space. Adopt an escalating fee schedule: \$ 180 registration fee and an escalating fee of \$300 for every six months the property remains vacant.

### When would the fee go into effect?

One option would require the property owner to register with the city and pay a fee as soon as the commercial space becomes vacant. The fee could be refunded if it is rented within 6 months of vacancy. If the space if vacant for 6 months or more, the fee would go into effect at the beginning of the 6<sup>th</sup> month of vacancy and would escalate depending on how long the property remains vacant.

Another option is that the fee would go into effect 6 months after a vacancy occurs.

Additionally, during the time the property remains vacant, the property owner is responsible for meeting the minimum standards for maintenance/street presence for the vacant space:

## Suggested standards include:

- 1. free of graffiti, clean windows, doors and storefront perhaps with "post no bills" standards with the exception of rental information,
- 2. clean interior space no "junk" or fixtures visible from the sidewalk/street,
- 3. clean and serviceable awnings,
- 4. signage in good condition,
- 5. "no boarding up" of windows or doors unless legally required,
- 6. landscaping up kept and in good condition,
- 7. parking lots clean of debris, weeds and in good condition and, if exclusive to the storefront, chained to prevent auto access
- 8. 24/7 lighting at street and motion lighting as necessary at auxiliary entrance/exits.

The City could adopt accelerating fines for non-compliance with maintenance/street presence standards, which would increase over time if the property is unmaintained.

### Incentives:

As explained above, if an owner rents a space within 6 months of vacancy, then the fee would be refunded.

Additionally, the escalating fee schedule and fees for lack of maintenance of property create incentives for owners to rent and maintain spaces.

Also, the fee could be lowered, if the owner agrees to rent the space temporarily to a positive public use such as arts performance or gallery space.

### Reasoning behind this proposal:

Since the Washington DC fee seems very effective, we propose an analogous fee that would be a lump sum (rather than per \$100 of assessed value as is the case in DC).

### A Better Assessment?

Given legal constraints in adopting taxes, it would be better if the fee were legally
an impact fee that was based on the costs incurred to the City by these vacant
storefronts (and according to the City Manager's office, vacant lots/storefronts
have remained vacant for long periods and can significantly drain city resources.)
This fee could be also used as a model to address the issue of vacant
commercial and residential lots.

- The City does not currently have this kind of information readily available but can gather it and attempt to quantify the costs. Some of the parameters suggested are: the cost of increased security, Police response, Public Works response and Mental Health services; the amount of time spent in addressing these vacant properties by Neighborhood Services; the opportunity costs of lost revenue in the form of building and business permits, in property taxes and in business license fees.
- If the costs could be properly quantified, it would be easier to determine a
  progressive fee schedule that ultimately would help recoup some of the costs to
  the city.

## Suggested exemptions:

The property would be exempt from the registration fee requirements entirely or temporarily if they met the following criteria:

- 1. The property is under active construction, rehabilitation, renovation or repair and has valid building permit(s) to make it fit for occupancy.
- 2. The owner is actively seeking to sell or rent the property and has not found a tenant/buyer and payment of the fees would be an economic hardship.

The City would need to establish criteria to determine if property meets exemptions. How do you determine if someone is actively seeking to sell or rent the property? Ads for vacant space? Number of open houses? Number of prospective tenants who have expressed interest in property? Also how is economic hardship defined?

City/County	Fees	Status	EnactedDate	EnactedDateReg. Timeframe
Alameda	\$603.00 annually	Enacted		90 days
Apple Valley	TBD	Proposed		
Baldwin Park	\$90/year	Enacted	4/15/2009	10 days
Banning		75 Enacted	9/9/2008 10 days	10 days
Barstow	TBD	Enacted	2/16/2010	2/16/2010 10 days following vacancy
Beaumont	\$150 annually	Enacted	8/7/2008 10 days	10 days
Bellflower	TBD	Enacted	5/26/2009 10 days	10 days
Benicia	157.11	11 Enacted		10 days
Blythe	1	100 Enacted	10/28/2008	10 days
California City		40 Enacted	8/4/2009 10 days	10 days
Calimesa	1	150 Enacted	6/16/2008 30 days	30 days
Canyon Lake	1	130 Enacted	4/9/2009 15 days	15 days
Cathedral City	\$70.00 annually	Enacted	5/28/2008 10 days	10 days
Chowchilla	\$150 first time; \$100 renewal	Enacted	8/7/2007 10 days	10 days
Chula Vista	\$70.00 *see key points of interest*	Enacted		10 days
Claremont	\$70.00 annually	Enacted	1/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Cloverdale	1	120 Enacted		10 days
Coachella		65 Enacted	6/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Coalinga	\$150 per calendar year	Enacted		10 days following vacancy
Colton	\$150; \$75 for renewal	Enacted	5/19/2009   10 days	10 days
Commerce	TBD by Council resolution	Enacted	9/18/2009	10 days following vacancy
Compton		35 Enacted	7/22/2010 10 days	10 days
Covina	\$100.00 annually	Enacted	9/2/2008 10 days	10 days
Desert Hot Springs	\$60.00 annually	Enacted	12/16/2008   10 days	10 days
				10 days from filing of NOD or property
Dixon	n/a	Dead		transfer
Downey	No Fee	Enacted	1/13/2009	10 days
East Palo Alto	3	300 Enacted	5/4/2010 10 days	10 days
El Monte	\$198(Reg) \$200 (Deposit)	Enacted	7/20/2010	7/20/2010 10 days following the filing of N.O.D.
Elk Grove		10 Enacted		10 days
Fairfield	\$171.00 annually	Enacted	7/15/2008 10 days	10 days
Fresno	None; TBD	Enacted	12/15/2008 10 days	10 days
Garden Grove		150 Enacted	12/25/2008 10 days	10 days

	207	7		0.00
Glendora	191	Too Ellacted		LU Udys
Gonzales	107	107 Enacted		10 days
Greenfield	\$50.00 annually	Enacted	9/23/2008 10 days	10 days
Hanford	\$200; pro-rated after July 1st each year	Enacted	12/1/2008   10 days	10 days
	\$205 Annual Fee for failing to Register \$437			
Hayward	Quarterly Monitoring Fee	Proposed		
Hesperia	TBD	Proposed		TDB
Highland	19\$	\$65 Enacted	10/13/2009 10 days	10 days
Hollister	\$265	\$265 Enacted		Upon Notice
Imperial	0\$\$	D Enacted	9/3/2008	10 days
Indio	Initial \$150/ \$100 annually	Enacted	4/4/2008 10 days	10 days
Inglewood	150	150 Enacted	1/1/2011 10 days	10 days
lone	195\$	\$50 Enacted	6/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Kerman	35\$	\$50 Enacted	9/3/2008 10 days	10 days
Kingsburg	\$50 annually	Enacted	12/3/2008   10 days	10 days
La Mirada	\$100 annually	Enacted	2/24/2009 30 days	30 days
La Puente	\$100	\$100 Enacted	11/17/2009   10 days	10 days
Lake Elsinore	\$71 annually	Enacted	4/25/2008 10 days	10 days
Lemoore	None	Enacted		10 days
Lompoc	)	0 Proposed		TBD
Long Beach	\$155 annually	Enacted	1/4/2011	1/4/2011 30 days following vacancy
Los Angeles	\$155 annually**see key points of interest**	Enacted	7/8/2010 10 days	10 days
Lynwood	\$250	\$250 Enacted	4/21/2009 10 days	10 days
Madera	35	55 Enacted	10/15/2008 10 days	10 days
Menifee	\$125	\$125 Enacted		
Modesto	)	0 Enacted	5/5/2009 10 days	10 days
Montclair	TBD	Enacted	1/5/2009	10 days
Montebello	120	120 Enacted	5/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Murrieta	\$70.00 annually	Enacted	3/4/2008 10 days	10 days
Oakland	\$568.00 annually	Enacted		30 days
Oakley	65	5 Enacted	6/24/2010 10 days	10 days
Ojai	)6	90 Enacted	9/22/2009 10 days	10 days

Ontario	\$110/annually; \$55 for change of information	Enacted	5/5/2009 10 days	10 days
Pacific Grove	\$116	\$116 Enacted	4/16/2011	4/16/2011 10 days following vacancy
Palm Springs	\$18.00 annually	Enacted	7/30/2008 10 days	10 days
Palmdale	One time fee of \$110.00	Enacted	2/6/2008 10 days	10 days
Pasadena	\$356.00 annually	Enacted		30 days
Patterson	\$90; \$80 for renewal	Enacted	12/1/2008	10 days
Perris	\$130 annually	Enacted	9/14/2008 10 days	10 days
Placentia	First time \$50 Renewal \$20	Enacted	10/7/2008 10 days	10 days
Pomona	TBD	Proposed		
Rancho Cucamonga	No Fee	Enacted	3/18/2009 30 days	30 days
Redlands	\$82.42	Enacted		10 days
Rialto	\$106.00 annually	Enacted	6/17/2008	10 days
Sacramento	N/A	Enacted		N/A
San Bruno	\$150	\$150 Enacted	1/10/2009 30 days	30 days
San Diego	None-registration is voluntary	Enacted		
San Diego County	0\$	\$0 Enacted	6/16/2009 None	None
San Francisco	\$765	\$765 Enacted	8/18/2009 30 days	30 days
San Jacinto	ТВD	Enacted		10 days
San Jose	Escalating fee schedule	Enacted	12/18/2007	Upon notice from city.
Santa Clarita	\$0; Registration is voluntary	Enacted		0
Santee	\$190.00 annually	Enacted	11/1/2002	10 day
Seaside	95\$	\$50 Enacted	3/17/2011 10 days	10 days
Selma	\$200.00 annually	Enacted	8/4/2008 10 days	10 days
Soledad	\$150	\$150 Enacted		10 days
South San Francisco	\$125	\$125 Enacted	3/1/2009 10 days	10 days
Stockton	N/A	Dead		
Suisun City	05\$	\$50 Enacted	3/17/2009	10 days
Temecula	None	Enacted	5/7/2008 30 days	30 days
Tulare		\$70 Enacted	6/16/2008 10 days	10 days
	\$550 monthly when code violations are			
Vacaville	outstanding	Enacted	7/22/2008 30 days	30 days
Vallejo	TBD	Proposed		TBD
Victorville	0\$	Enacted		0

Waterford \$50.00 annua	LIOJE		
\$50.00 ann	Ioujenacted	ted	60 days trom vacancy/ boarding
	ually Enac	Enacted 10/10/2008 10 days	10 days
Wildomar	\$100 Enacted		10 days
Winters none	Enacted	ted   11/17/2009   10 days	10 days

Overseeing Department Ordinance Title City Code Planning and Building Abandoned Ordinance No. 3380	Department Ordinance Title City Code d Building Abandoned Ordinance No. 3080	City Code Ordinance No. 3080	No. 3080	Ordinance Description An abandoned residential property is: defaulted residential pro		ste.ca us	Program Notes
Training and Department Residential CVMC18 & Abandoned Vista Progent Residential Property Registration	Residential CVMC 15.60 Abandoned Property Program Residential Property Registration	CVMC 15.60 Abandoned Residential Property Registration		vacant.			
sn eo elsy				Penalties: • Within 10 day	enables: Within 10 days the owner must exercise the abandonment clause in their mortgage contract.		
				Owner must im Owner must im Owner must hir The property meekly inspection	<ul> <li>Owner must register the property with the city and pay annual \$70 fee.</li> <li>Owner must immediately begin to secure and maintain the property to the neighborhood standard.</li> <li>Owner must hive a local company to respect the property on a weekly basis.</li> <li>The property must be possed with the name and 24-hout contact number of the company responsible for the weekly inspection, maintenance and security of the property.</li> </ul>		
Planning Division Abandoned Title 9, Chapter 100 Residential	Abandoned Title 9, Chapter 100 Residential	Title 9, Chapter 100		An abandoned pr sale, or pending l	An abandoned property is: a property that is vacant and is under current notice of default or subject to trustee's <a href="https://www.csees.froz.">https://www.csees.froz.</a> replaced to the seale, or in deed transfer in lieu of foredosuralsale.		Enacted April 2, 2008. Modeled on programs in Chicago, Detroit, and Chula
			Penalty:	Penalty:			Vista.
Ordinance • When lender sen			• When lender sen	<ul> <li>When lender sen</li> <li>If it is not the lend</li> </ul>	When lender sends the first notice of default the lender must inspect the property to see if it is occupied. If it is not the lender must hite a property management company within 5 miles of the property to maintain the		
home.  • The property ma	home.  • The property ma	home.  • The property ma	home. • The property ma	home. • The property mai	nome.  The property management company must inspect the property weekly to ensure its compliance with city.		
codes.	conference control of the control of	codes.	codes.	codes.			
Lenders must no     Tride holder must	Lenders must no     Title holder must no	• Lenders must no	Lenders must no     Title holder must	<ul> <li>Lenders must no</li> <li>Title holder must</li> </ul>	Lenders must notify city within 10 days of a home going into foreclosure. Title holder must pay annual fee of \$60.		
• The property mu	• The property mu	The property mu company.	The property mu company.	<ul> <li>The property mu company.</li> </ul>	The property must be posted with the name and 24-hour contact number of the property management company.		
DC Code 42-3131.12 A vacant property in Code 42-3131.12 A vacant property in Regulatory Affairs Regulatory Affairs	DC Code 42-3131.12	DC Code 42-3131.12		A vacant property i	A vacant property is: a property has been unoccupied for a minimum of 30 consecutive days.	)   лоб эриазбијцвем вису ммм	Enacted December 28, 2006. Individuals can report vacant properties by sending a
Ordinance	_	_	Penalties:	Penalties:			form (available on website) to the city. The
• Owner must regis • Owner must regis • Owner must regis	· Owner must regis	Owner must regis	Owner must regis	Owner must regis    Owner must pay i	<ul> <li>Owner must register property annually.</li> <li>Owner must pay registration fee of \$20 x the number residential &amp; commercial units on the property.</li> </ul>		property is then inspected for code compliance.
• Vacant residentia	Vacant residential	Vacant residential	Vacant residential	<ul> <li>Vacant residential</li> </ul>	Vacant residential properties are taxed at 5.7 times the rate of occupied properties. Vacant commercial		
proparties are tax	properties are tax	proparties are tax	properties are tax	properties are tax	stoperties are taxed at 2.7 times the rate of occupied properties.		
The owner doe	• Owner must bring •	Owner must bring.	The owner must but	<ul> <li>Owner must bring</li> <li>If the owner doe</li> </ul>	• Owner must bring building to code compliance. • If the owner does not bring the building to compliance DCRA has the authority to abase the violation and		
recover the funds	recover the funds	recover the funds	recover the funds	recover the funds	recover the funds by placing a lien on the property.		
• City and admini	• City can admini	· City can admini	• City can admini	· City can admini	City can administer fines up to \$2000 and jall time up to 90 days for unregistered and noncompliant		
of Licenses and Department of Licenses and Vacant Property Chapter 4, §4-27, 125.0 et seq.	Vacant Property Chapter 4, §4-27, 125.0 et seq.	Vacant Property Chapter 4, §4-27, 125.0 et seq.		A vacant property is	proportions.  Avacant property is: a building that have been vacant for more than forty-five (45) consecutive days.	www.ci wimington de us	Passed in 1980s and revised in 2003, the
Inspections Registration Fee Registration Fee Penalties:	Registration Fee	on Fee	Denoties	Penallies:			\$25 annual fee was replaced with current fee schedule. City estimates that within first
			Owner must regis	<ul> <li>Owner must regis</li> </ul>	Owner must register his or her property.		three years after the revision vacant
• Owner must bay	• Owner must pay	Owner must pay	• Owner must pay	<ul> <li>Owner must pay</li> </ul>	Owner must pay a registration fee for each property that has been vacant for at least one (1) year.		property owners spent \$30 million on
i ou centrei cello il centrei cello il	rio whier rains for the recipitation fee the	owner lans of the constration fee the	rio alla company de transporter de t	redistration fee the	" II owner rains to register an vacant; proper uses, to amend une registration statement if appricables, or pay une registration fee the city may institute criminal proceedings against the owner of the property including a		renovations and reoccupied 500 vacant. buildings.
potential fine of \$5	potential fine of \$5	potential fine of \$8	potential fine of \$5	potential fine of \$	operation of \$500. In addition, the city may bring a civil action to collect any unpaid registration less.	•	
- Fee schedule	- Fee Schedule:	90 SCO	- Bee Schedul	Fee schedule:			
• 1 year vacant: \$500	• 1 year vacant: \$5	• 1 year vacant: \$5	1 year vacant: \$5	• 1 year vacant: \$5	8		
• 2 years vacant: \$1,000 • 3.4 wears vacant: \$2 fifth	• 2 years vacant: 8	• 2 years vacant: 3	• 2 years vacant: 4	<ul> <li>2 years vacant: \$         3.4 years vacant*</li> </ul>	52 DID		
• 5-9 years vacant; \$3,500	• 5-9 years vacant:	• 5-9 years vacant:	• 5-9 years vacant:	• 5-9 years vacant:	\$3,500		
• 10 years vacant: \$5,000	• 10 years vacant: 3	10 years vacant: 3	10 years vacant: 3	<ul> <li>10 years vacant: 3</li> </ul>	000'93		
• 10+ years vacant:	• 10+ years vacant:	• 10+ years vacant:	• 10+ years vacant:	<ul> <li>10+ years vacant:</li> </ul>	10+ years vacant: \$5,000, plus an additional \$500 for every year the property is vacant over 10 years.		

Passed fee 26, 2008 Everpritors for *Perporary en engenory Studistions.* Enforced by code enforcement inspectors doing block-by-block surveys of targeted areas.	Ordinance is under the untreals of the Vesant Proparties Program, which began in 2006 to expand on the Troubled Buildings Initiative.	Enected in January 2007. Based on Wilmington's code.
No program website	Ба эргарада жоба	No program website
Ordinance 05:164. One-pter 16.  Avacant structure is: a building unoccupied for 90 days or more.  Penalties:  - Owness must within 10 days of notice from Code Enforcement, register their structures with the city's Planning and Development Services.  - Registration must be renewed every six month the building is vacant.  - Submit a plan including the length of this the owner expects the vacancy to continue, proposed rehabilitation to be made and description or measures isken to secure structure.  - submit a form that grent's permission for inspection and a description of action that will be taken to secure the structure.  - 'Assant structure must be up to maintenance code.  - 'If the structure is to be broarded up, owner must apply for a board-up permit and abide by that code.  Fee schedule:  - Unknown procedul maintenant Development services.	Vacant Property is: a property that has been vacant for 30 or more days.  Pensities:  • Owner must register building with the City of Chicago within 30 days after it becomes vacant.  • Owner must sear source the building with the City of Chicago within 30 days after it becomes vacant.  • Owner must search as building with the building or Owner must post a notice of vacancy on the building.  • Owner must maintain the building to the building.  • Owner must show evidence that they have leability insurance no less than \$300X for residential properties.  \$ \$M\$ for commercial or industrial properties in 30 days to defray potential demolition costs.	Vascart property is: a building in which no one is residing or no business is being conducted, or buildings in which more than half of the excertor windows and doors are broken, boarded up, or without a functioning bods.  •Within 45 days of a building becoming vacant, the owner is required to register it with the city's Department of Municipal inspecions.  •Within 45 days of a building becoming vacant, the owner is required to register it with the city's Department of Municipal inspecions.  •Within 45 of each year, the owner must pay a registration fee to cover the administrative cost of "monitoring and neuraling the property maintenance" of the building.  • Building owners have the right to appeal the feas to the Board of Health, but only based on the issue of whether the building is vecant and for how long. Those who don't register or pay their fees are subject to \$300- maintenance of the building is wearn and for how long. Those who don't register or pay their fees are subject to \$300- fees escalable.  • Res escalable based on the amount of time the structure has been vecant, from \$500 for properties that have been vecant for less than a year to \$3,000 for those vacant for three years or more.
	IRe 13-11 & 13-12	Chapter 8.05
Vecan: Buildings Ordinance	The Vacant Building Registration and Insurance Certification Ordinance	Viscant Property Registration Ordinance
Planning and Development Services	Deparment of Buildings	Department of Municipal is Inspections
Planning and Development Services P.O. Box 44 P.O. Box 44 Albary, Georgia 31702 Phone: (229) 438-3985 Fax: (229) 438-3986	Dennis Calinan Vacarta Mutiple Dwelling Agranistrator (312) 744.3456	Nicholas R. Catinazzo Director of Municipal Inspections Inspections (781) 286-8197
GA	=	MA
Albany	Chicago	Revere

St. Paul	W	Steve Magner Vacant Buildings Supervisor	Planning and Development Services	ding	Chapter 45	A Avasant building its a building that is unocoupled for 30 or more days and its: <a a="" also="" buildings="" by="" court="" give="" hintow,="" href="https://www.stytesli.gov.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.nc.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Code specifies exemption for houses that have recent fire damage.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;(651) 286-2542&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Ordinance&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;-Secured by other than normal means, or is -Adapted accuss structure, or is -Condemned, or illegally coape, or or - Itse multiple housing or Building Code widelions, or - Is condemned and illegally coapeled, or - is condemned and illegally coapeled, or - is uncoapeled for a pendo of time forger than one year during which time the Enforcement Officer has issued an order to correct nuisance conditions.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Penalties:  - Owners trust submit a Vacant Building Registration Form within 30 days, describing plans for rehabilitating and encoupying or denotelshing the building Registration Form within 30 days, describing plans for rehabilitating and encoupying or denotelshing the building and recompanies of the state of the state discloses all pertinent less holding the information.  - Owners must disclose any current furth-has de the described because Reports  - Owners must provide unactural ledes accorded special personal profices of the premises of the buildings to permit the Enforcement Officer for make a complete bracedor.  - Owners must browle unactural beat accorded special personal persona&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Albany&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;ž&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Richert, Crosszi, St.&lt;br&gt;Deputy Chief, Many&lt;br&gt;Department of Fire and&lt;br&gt;Emergency Services&lt;br&gt;rictreszi@allebry-ty.org&lt;br&gt;(518) 434.8045&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Fire Department's Division of Buildings and Codes&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Vécarie Building Ordinance No&lt;br&gt;Registry (passed in XIA § 133-78&lt;br&gt;2000) 8 Vacant&lt;br&gt;Building&lt;br&gt;Committee&lt;br&gt;(created in 2005)&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Ordinance No. 51.82.88, Article XIA § 133-78&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;A variant building it: a building or portion thereof that is unoccupied or illegally occupied for more than 30 days. No program website and areas:  - unsecured, or  - secured by Order than normal means, or  - secured than normal means and than than the enforcement office thas issued an order to correct code  - secured to ore 365 days during which time the enforcement office thas issued an order to correct code  - Secure must such a secure the building plan for approval - must include either plans and nimetrame for  - Secured must submit a exact building plan for approval - must include either plans and nimetrame for  - secured plans, such a secure the building plan for approval - must include either plans and nimetrame for  - secured plans, such a property &amp; pay fee.  - secured plans and nimetrame for  - secured plan&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;First enabled in Color in Lan 2008 leas increased from \$200 to \$25.01 he first year. Legislation is currently enforced by the TBCAC by Book " initiative="" largains="" most="" properties="" properties.="" properties.<="" streets="" summonses="" td="" the="" to="" unregistered="" uses="" vacant="" vecent="" which="" with=""></a>	
Binghamton	À	Keith Heron Vazant Properties Officer 38 Hawkey Smeet, 4th floor Binghamton, NY 13901 (607) 772-7102 (ax	Building and Cxde Deparment	Vacan: Building Registration Ordinance	8285-6	- 5 or more years: \$2,000  - A wazant building is a building, a portion of a building, or a structure which is any one or more of the below:    WASANT building is a building, a portion of a building, or a structure which is any one or more of the below:    WASANT building is a building, a portion of a building, or a structure which is any one or more of the below:    WASANT building is a building, a portion of a building,	Enected 5/3107. Legislation part of 'Elight Prevention Initiative' developed by a task force made up Code. Building and Construction, Police, Legal, Plenning, Housing and Community Development, Police, and France officials. Law modeled after egalsiation in Albany and Rochester NY. Willmington, DE; and Evanston, IL.

	Exemptions made for buildings under permitted construction.	Enected in 1893 as par of the Property charding Program. Is a designed to deal with vacant properties although only records occupied property.
AGE TO	550 1898 300 1898 300 308	iod despesation for many
Ordinance 59-2009 (Amendment) A vacant property is: a property ordered vacated due to non-compliance of a 13 point code by Buildings & Sections 1101-77, 79, 129  Respections Dept.  - Applicant must power by the ordered building Maintenance License win 30 days of order to vacate.  - Applicant must pow lea or request walver.  - Applicant must power leaves walver.  - Applicant must power and evidence of general liability insurance for property (min of \$3.00k for residential \$1M for commercial or indepting must be brought into VBML compliance (dennicitized, rehabilitated, or up to code) within 60 days of application.  - Building must be brought into VBML compliance (dennicitized, rehabilitated, or up to code) within 60 days of application.  - Building must be brought into VBML compliance (dennicitized, rehabilitated, or up to code) within 60 days of application.  - Se00/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for a least 1 but less than 2 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered to kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 2 but less than 5 years - \$3.500/year for properties that have been ordered or kept vacated for at least 3.500 was the properties and the properties of the p	A vacant building its a building that has been uncoupled for 30 days or more and is:  - unsecured, or  - a dangerous structure, or  - a dangerous structure, or  - a dangerous structure, or  - condemmed, or	A vecent building its: a non-owner occupied residential or commercial building or part of such a building without 19000000000000000000000000000000000000
Ordinance 56-2006 (Americhes Sections 1101-77, 78, 129	Article IX §11	Property Recording Chepter 270, subchepter 8 & Ordinance Chepter 275 § 32-7
Vecant Building Malmanane License	City of Stour Falls Ordinance Article IX Vacant Buildings	Property Recording
Department of Buiklings and Inspections	Planning and Building Services	Department of Municipal Inspections
Edward Curningham Supervisor of Inspections edward curningham@circinnasi oh.gov (E13) 352-1909	Buiking Services 605-367-8254	Marin Collins MOOLL (@milwaukes gov (414) 286-2542
<b>ਲ</b>	9	M
Cincinnati	Sloux Falls	Мімзикее